

United States Patent and Trademark Office

pV

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/044,030	03/19/1998	AKIRA UEDA	980400	7704	
23850	7590 11/26/2003		EXAMINER		
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP 1725 K STREET, NW			ATKINSON, CHRISTOPHER MARK		
SUITE 1000	LI, NW		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			3753	110	
			DATE MAILED: 11/26/2003	9	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	**	
Office Action Summany	09/044,030	Ved	zetal.	<u> </u>
Office Action Summary	Examiner -	. • .	Art Unit	
	NTKinson	1	3153	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet wit	th the corres	pondence addres	s –
Period for Reply	-			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	MONTH	I(S) FROM	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the lift NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause to the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of earned petent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	he statutory minimum of thirty and will expire SIX (6) MONTH he application to become ABAI	(30) days will be S from the mailin NDONED (35 U.S	e considered timely. ng date of this communi i.C. § 133).	
Status	/ /-			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	/17/03			•
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This ac				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa				merits is
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 13-14 and 16	 _	is/are	pending in the	application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)		is/ar	e withdrawn fro	m consideration.
5) Claim(s)	**************************************		is/are allowed.	
6) Claim(s) 13-14 and 16			is/are rejected.	
7)			is/are objected t	ю.
8) Claims	are subje	ct to restric	tion and/or elec	tion requirement.
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/ard	e a) 🗆 accepted or 1	b) 🗆 objecte	ed to by the Exa	miner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in a	beyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a)	•
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□	approved	b)□ disapprove	ed by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.			•
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:				<i></i> ~
1. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ve been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ve been received in A	pplication N	No	<u> </u>
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))). _.		age
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the		:		
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
a) The translation of the foreign language provision				
15)☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.	S.C. §§ 120	0 and/or 121.	
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (:		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	tent Application	(PTO-152)	
3) Amormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 42	6) Other:	· ·		

Serial Number: 09/044,030 Page 2

Art Unit: 3753

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103, the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of potential 35 U.S.C. § 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 103.

Claims 13-14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Ishida et al. (3-96258) in view of Yamakage ('754). See figures 1-7 and 9 in Ishida et al. (3-96258).

The device of Ishida et al. (3-96258) discloses all the claimed features with the exception of the claimed fin spacing.

Serial Number: 09/044,030 Page 3

Art Unit: 3753

The device of Yamakage ('754), in figure 6, discloses that it is known to have a larger fin distance between the plate (2) and a fin (6) than between adjacent fins (6) for the purpose of maximizing the amount of heat transfer away from an electronic device within a given space. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to employ in Ishida et al. (3-96258) a larger fin distance between the plate and a fin than between adjacent fins for the purpose of removing heat away from an electronic device as disclosed in Yamakage ('754).

Response to Arguments

The device of the combination of Ishida et al. (3-96258) and Yamakage ('754), as stated above, discloses the claimed invention.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for response to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the date of this action. In the event a first response is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the

Serial Number: 09/044,030 Page 4

Art Unit: 3753

statutory period for response expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Atkinson whose telephone number is (703) 308-2603.

C.A. November 25, 2003

CHRISTOPHER ATKINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER